


**REPORT OF THE VETTING COMMITTEE ON THE VETTING OF EIGHTEEN  
(18) CANDIDATES FOR THE 2021 NUGS GENERAL ELECTIONS HELD THE  
5<sup>TH</sup>, 6<sup>TH</sup> AND THE 7<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2021.**



**Prepared by the vetting committee  
And submitted to all institutions and judicial committee  
by TONNY NYARKO ESQ.**

**©2021**

## 1.0. PREFACE

- 1.1. **Committee Mandate:** The Vetting Committee is established pursuant to Article 19(k) of the NUGS Constitution, 2019 as the body responsible for ensuring that candidate(s) for any election under the Constitution, satisfy the eligibility requirements provided for in the Constitution. The committee also have the competence to ***recommend or not recommend*** a person's candidature after Vetting based on justifiable competence barometer grounds.
- 1.2. **Membership of the Committee;** The Committee was constituted by the Central Committee on Friday, [insert date] comprising of the following Members:
- |      |                       |           |
|------|-----------------------|-----------|
| i.   | Tonny Nyarko          | Chairman  |
| ii.  | Elsa Otoo             | Secretary |
| iii. | Ahmed Farouk          | Member    |
| iv.  | Ansah Palmer Bismark  | Member    |
| v.   | Richard Bosomtwi      | Member    |
| vi.  | Moses N. Bondong      | Member    |
| vii. | Benjamin Eddie Cudjoe | Member    |
- 1.3. **Committee Meetings;** A total of eighteen (8) aspirants appeared before the Committee on different dates within the schedule provided. A total of five (5) aspirants appeared before the committee and were vetted on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021, ten (10) and three (3) aspirants appeared before the committee on the 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 respectively.
- 1.4. **Acknowledgement;** May I take this opportunity to thank all Members of the Committee for their input and valuable contributions during the deliberations and vetting exercise. The Committee also takes this opportunity to thank all individuals for the support accorded to it during the vetting exercise. On behalf of the Committee and pursuant to Articles 19(k)iii & iv, it is my pleasure and duty to present to all institutions and the Judicial Board, the Committee's Report on the vetting of the eighteen (18) aspirants for appointment to the various positions sort after.

## 2.0. CRITERIA FOR VETTING

- 2.1. **Criteria for Vetting;** In conducting the vetting process, the Committee examined the aspirants against the following criteria, amongst others, as set out under Rule 6(k) of the CONSTITUTIONAL INSTRUMENT OF THE 2021 ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF THE NATIONAL UNION OF GHANA STUDENTS (NUGS) – C.I 21 namely;
- |     |                                       |          |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----------|
| i.  | Appearance, Composure and Countenance | 5 marks  |
| ii. | General Knowledge -                   | 10 marks |

- iii. Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS) - 20 marks
- iv. Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery 20 marks
- v. Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals 30 marks
- vi. Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation 10 marks
- vii. Response and approach to observers' question(s) 5 marks

2.2. The power of the committee to recommend or otherwise is exercised on the following, candidates in the category of president are required to obtain an average percentage score of 80, whereas aspirants in all other positions are required to obtain an average percentage score of 70. The breakdown is stated in the Table 1.1. below.

CATEGORY A (President)	80%	RECOMMENDED
CATEGORY B (all other)	70%	NOT-RECOMMENDED

2.3. **Exercise of the discretionary power;** At Rule 6(I), it states further that, the marks allocated in respect of the above shall be subject to the **discretion of each of the member panel** and such discretionary powers vested in the Vetting Committee shall uphold the provisions of article 269 of the 1992 Constitution of Ghana. For this reason, the discretionary power shall be deemed to imply **a duty to be fair and candid**, and also **the exercise of the discretionary power shall not be arbitrary, capricious or biased either by resentment, prejudice or personal dislike and shall be in accordance with due process of law et al.**

### 3.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR UNION DEVELOPMENT ASPIRANT.

One (1) aspirant appeared before and was vetted by the committee on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; **MS. AMENU EWENAM.**

3.1. **Ms. Amenu Ewenam;** she exhibited control over the Knowledge of the position and professes also some adaptable and implementable policy, action plan for the Union. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.2. below.**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	3	5	3	3	4	
General Knowledge	10	3	5	8	5	5	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	19	15	18	15	10	

Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	18	15	20	10	10	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	25	20	25	18	15	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	7	6	8	9	6	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	2	5	4	5	3	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>355/571</b>

3.2. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **71%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

#### 4.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS ASPIRANT.

Two (2) aspirants appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; EMMANUEL BARTELS ANKRAH and PIUS THEOPHELIUS BAIDOO.

4.1. **Emmanuel Bartels Ankrah;** The aspirant possesses great administrative vision and goals. He has command over the French Language which comes as an advantage in respect of the position sort after. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.3. below.**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	4	5	3	5	3	
General Knowledge	10	2	5	4	6	5	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	14	15	10	13	10	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	18	15	10	12	10	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	20	20	25	20	18	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	7	6	8	9	7	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	5	5	3	5	3	

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>331/5 66.2</b>
--------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------------------

- 4.2. **Summary;** The Aspirants is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **66.2%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.
- 4.3. **Pius Theophilus Baidoo;** the aspirant was well composed, apt and exhibited basic Knowledge about the position sort after. He is fluent in the English Language but lacks in the French Language which could be a hindrance in his operations as the secretary of international relations. Aspirants also gives away so much unsolicited information and do not know how to keep it simple and express. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.4. below.**

<b>ITEM</b>	<b>MARKS</b>	<b>P1</b>	<b>P2</b>	<b>P3</b>	<b>P4</b>	<b>P5</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	4	5	5	4	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	7	8	8	10	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	16	18	15	16	18	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	15	18	13	16	17	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	25	25	22	20	28	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	7	8	9	8	9	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	4	4	3	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>406/5 81.2</b>

- 4.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **81.2%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

5.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR INNOVATION, ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SKILL DEVELOPMENT.

Two (2) aspirants appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; AFRANI FAITHFUL KESSE and PRINCE AMPONSAH.

- 5.1. **Afrani Faithful Kesse**; Aspirant is intelligent, capable and also put up a satisfactory performance although she is found to possess very little Knowledge on position sort after. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.5. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	5	6	5	6	7	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	15	12	18	14	15	12	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	15	10	12	15	16	16	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	18	20	28	19	21	25	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	9	6	5	6	8	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	4	3	5	4	3	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>420/670</b>

- 5.2. **Summary**; The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **70%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

- 5.3. **Prince Amponsah**; The Aspirant has a good written policy, put up a satisfactory performance and exhibited maturity in his dealings which the committee deems necessary for the execution of his functions in respect of the position sort after. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.6. below.**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	8	7	6	7	5	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	15	12	13	15	16	13	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	14	11	14	12	16	14	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	16	20	20	20	15	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	6	8	5	7	7	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	5	3	4	5	5	3	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>420/670</b>

5.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **70%**. Hence the committee deems him **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

#### 6.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION.

Two aspirants appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; OPPONG KYEREMEH DANIEL and JERRY NNAAH MUMUNI.

6.1. **Oppong Kyeremeh Daniel;** Aspirant is intelligent, capable and also put up a satisfactory performance although he is found to possess low confidence when defending policies, he purports to have written which makes it unrealistic and unachievable, and has to work on his public speaking and confidence. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.7. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
------	-------	----	----	----	----	----	----	-------

Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	8	6	7	2	9	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	8	12	15	16	15	14	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	18	12	15	17	15	15	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	25	15	27	26	24	20	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	5	7	8	8	9	7	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>445</b>

6.2. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **74.17** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

6.3. **Jerry Nnnaah Mumuni;** The Aspirant has a good written policy but couldn't express same when questions were raised on them. Notwithstanding he put up a satisfactory performance and exhibited maturity in his dealings which the committee deems necessary for the execution of his functions in respect of the position sort after. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.8. below**

<b>ITEM</b>	<b>MARKS</b>	<b>P1</b>	<b>P2</b>	<b>P3</b>	<b>P4</b>	<b>P5</b>	<b>P6</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	6	5	6	7	6	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	17	14	15	13	14	11	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	12	15	15	13	12	13	



Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	20	17	25	18	20	16	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	5	5	7	7	7	7	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>401</b>

6.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **70.83%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

#### 7.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR WOMEN DEVELOPMENT.

Three (3) aspirants appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; PAMELA A. MANTEY, GRACE OHEMAA BEDIAKO and JESISCA TWUMASI.

7.1. **Pamela A. Mantey:** Aspirant put up a satisfactory performance, a well articulate policies, and demonstrated she is very much capable. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.9. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	
General Knowledge	10	8	6	3	6	6	5	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	17	10	18	14	12	12	16	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	15	13	17	15	12	16	15	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	15	20	19	20	24	17	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	6	5	9	9	7	7	8	

Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	5	3	5	5	4	4	5	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>506</b> <b>72.3</b>

7.2. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **72.3%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

7.3. **Grace O. Bediako:** Aspirant put a satisfactory performance, smart and eloquent. She demonstrated to be capable to serve. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.10. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	8	8	8	6	7	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	13	13	15	16	16	18	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	14	13	16	14	17	15	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	21	21	22	23	23	15	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	7	8	7	7	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	4	2	5	5	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>458</b> <b>76.3</b>

7.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **76.3%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

7.5. **Jesisca Twumasi:** aspirant put up a very satisfactory performance, excellent understanding of NUGS activities. She demonstrated to be capable and can deliver on the mandate. The score by individual

member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.11. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	8	6	8	3	7	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	12	17	17	16	16	14	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	17	14	18	16	10	13	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	21	25	22	25	22	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	8	7	7	5	7	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	5	4	5	3	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>453</b> <b>75.5</b>

7.6. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **75.5%** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

#### 8.0. VETTING OF THE GENERAL SECRETARY.

Two (2) aspirants appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; ADIGBLI JUSTICE ALEXANDER and JULIUS KWAME ANTHONY.

8.1. **Adigbli Justice Alexander;** Aspirant is experienced, capable and also put up a very satisfactory performance. He is found to possess enough Knowledge on position sort after. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.12. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	

General Knowledge	10	8	7	5	7	7	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	16	17	12	19	18	12	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	10	16	11	14	18	12	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	20	22	19	22	15	20	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	7	6	9	5	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	3	5	3	5	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>430/6 71.6</b>

8.2. **Summary**; The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **71.6%** hence the committee deems him **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

8.3. **Julius Kwame Anthony**; Aspirant is Knowledgeable, confident, intelligent and fluent. He also put up a very satisfactory performance. Aspirant presented documents with different names, namely Julius J Kwame Anthony on some of the documents whereas others bear Julius Kwame Anthony. This put his name identity to question (identity crisis). He informed the committee, he has deposed to a statutory declaration and same gazetted confirming that both names as referring to him when he was requisitioned. However, he failed to attach any such document(s) as proof of this (because he claimed to have travelled with it to China). He has been given 24hours within which to produce any such document(s), failure of which will subject him to the constitutional sanctions. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.13. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	8	7	6	8	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	14	18	15	12	18	17	

Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	18	17	18	16	18	17	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	20	28	25	22	21	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	7	7	9	8	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	5	3	4	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>479/6 79.8</b>

8.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **79.8%** hence the committee deems him **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon. The aspirant presented documents with conflicting names. When requisitioned, he indicated he has taken a statutory declaration to consolidate (and same Gazetted). Accordingly, he was asked to present the said documents for further verification. The aspirant subsequently on Tuesday at about 11:08am sent some documents purporting to be those requested by the committee. It turns out that, the said statutory declaration was sworn in Accra on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021 contrary to his earlier assertion that he sworn and gazetted same in 2019 and travelled with it to China. It is our respectful opinion that, the aspirant deliberately misrepresented those facts with the sole motive of misleading and in fact successfully mislead the committee and the "union".

#### 9.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR SOCIETIES AND WELFARE

One (1) aspirant appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; DAVID HUGHES DEVEREAUX DONEENOBA

9.1. **David Hughes Devereaux Doneenoba;** Aspirant is confident, articulate, knowledgeable and experienced to deliver on his mandate. He put up a very satisfactory performance. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.14. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	8	7	5	6	7	8	

Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	16	15	16	14	15	13	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	16	15	18	12	12	15	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	20	18	25	23	17	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	6	9	7	7	9	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	3	4	3	5	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>441/6 74</b>

9.2. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **74%** hence the committee deems him **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

#### 10.0. VETTING OF THE SECRETARY FOR FINANCE.

Two aspirants appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; FRANCISCA LYNN WILLIS and AKWASI SARPONG.

10.1. **Francisca Lynn Willis;** Aspirant is intelligent, capable and also put up an excellent performance. She is found to possess a great deal and an in-depth knowledge of position sort after, and has a splendid expertise in the financial market. Her recommendation comes to give credence to her experience and the asset that the union will have in her if she granted the mandate. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.15. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	
General Knowledge	10	8	9	6	8	8	8	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and	20	20	18	19	16	19	18	18	

Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)									
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	20	18	18	16	19	17	16	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	25	20	29	25	28	26	24	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	9	10	6	8	8	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>606</b>

10.2. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **86.6** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

10.3. **Akwasi Sarpong;** The Aspirant appeared before the committee satisfactorily vested in financial issues. Aspirant is advised to make time and research more on investments, trade policies and financial transactions in the 21-century money market. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.16. below**

<b>ITEM</b>	<b>MARKS</b>	<b>P1</b>	<b>P2</b>	<b>P3</b>	<b>P4</b>	<b>P5</b>	<b>P6</b>	<b>P7</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	5	9	7	7	7	7	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	15	10	16	14	17	15	10	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	15	10	16	15	17	12	17	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	23	15	20	20	22	24	17	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	8	7	6	7	6	7	

Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	3	3	3	4	5	3	5	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>497</b>

10.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **71** Hence the committee deems her **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

#### 11.0. VETTING OF PRESIDENT

Three (3) aspirant appeared before and were vetted by the committee on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021 namely; DR. SYLVESTER OWUSU AMOAKO, YIADOM BOAKYE, and DENIS APPIAH LARBI

11.1. **Dr. Sylvester Owusu Amoako;** Aspirant is well composed confident, and put up a satisfactory performance. He has the experience to deliver on his mandate. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.17. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	
General Knowledge	10	8	8	6	7	6	7	7	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	17	15	15	16	16	18	10	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	17	10	13	12	16	17	14	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	25	21	22	25	25	20	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	5	8	7	10	7	7	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>528</b> <b>75.4</b>



- 11.2. **Summary**; The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **75.4%**. However, the committee deems him **NOT QUALIFIED** as per the eligibility requirement pursuant to Article 21 of the NUGS Constitution. As proof of his studentship, the aspirant presented an admission letter from Central University College dated the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February 2021. Coincidentally, that was the same day he filed his nominations, and very interestingly, the aspirant presented a reference letter from the SRC instead of the institution. On the said admission letter, the aspirant was required to accept the offer of admission by the payment of the scheduled fees in full not later than the 25<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021. Nonetheless, the aspirant as at the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March when he appeared before the committee, had not made any payment nor provided any documentation to establish any prior arrangements in lieu of the payment.
- 11.3. **Yiadom Boakye Emmanuel**; Aspirant demonstrated a strong appreciation of the NUGS affairs, and appears to have a working knowledge of the position. He is well composed and put up quite a satisfactory performance. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.18. below**

ITEM	MARKS	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	TOTAL
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	
General Knowledge	10	6	7	6	6	6	5	6	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	10	15	16	17	10	15	13	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	13	13	16	16	10	15	14	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	17	27	25	25	25	15	25	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	6	9	7	7	7	8	8	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>499</b>
--------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------

11.4. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **71.3%** hence the committee deems him **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

11.5. **Denis Appiah Larbi;** Aspirant is well composed, confident, fluent and put up a very satisfactory performance. He demonstrated a strong appreciation of NUGS affairs and activities. The score by individual member against the aspirant and the final computations are expressed in **Table 1.19. below**

<b>ITEM</b>	<b>MARKS</b>	<b>P1</b>	<b>P2</b>	<b>P3</b>	<b>P4</b>	<b>P5</b>	<b>P6</b>	<b>P7</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Appearance, Composure and Countenance	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
General Knowledge	10	7	9	8	7	8	8	8	
Knowledge of Position, Routines of NUGS and Constitutional Drill (Ghana & NUGS)	20	17	16	16	13	18	18	17	
Logic Coherence, Analysis, Expression and Delivery	20	16	17	16	15	17	18	18	
Policy, Action Plan, Vision and Administrative Goals	30	22	25	22	23	21	18	26	
Curriculum Vitae/Resume Presentation	10	8	7	8	7	9	5	7	
Response and approach to observers' question(s)	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>559</b> <b>79.8</b>

11.6. **Summary;** The Aspirant is **RECOMMENDED** with the percentage score of **79.8%** hence the committee deems him **QUALIFIED** to be presented to Congress to be voted upon.

## 12.0. CONCLUSION

In furtherance of the relevant provisions in the NUSGS Constitution, the committee hereby present this report to the union. It is our respective view that, as a result of the COVID-19 Pandemic, most of the aspirants will cease to be students after the August 2021. It is therefore necessary to elevate the national dialogue towards restoring the Union's calendar back to it original and normal state for accountability sake. It is therefore recommended that, this year's elections should be run on the understanding that, the elected executives will only have the mandate to be in office for the unexpired term in this calendar year, i.e 6-months.

DRAFT